

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,190	02/2	26/2002	Francis Masyada	MD94/01	5131	
7	7590	12/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Edward P. Dutkiewicz P.O. Box 511				MCKANE, ELIZABETH L		
Largo, FL 33779-0511				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-				1744		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/083,190	MASYADA, FRANCIS	(A)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh McKane	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received. have been received in Application	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	if the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 contains the trademark/tradename Kevlar®. Where a trademark/tradename is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 USC § 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark/tradename cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark/tradename is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or tradename does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or tradename. In the present case, the trademark/tradename is used to identify/describe an aramid synthetic fiber mesh and accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1744

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindsay et al (USP 6,701,637).

Lindsay et al teaches an apparatus including a conveyor system comprised of two coacting belts 22,26, a plurality of rollers 40,42,44,46 for conveying the belts, a heating element 36, and a pressure assembly 38. Although not specifically disclosed, drivers are used by Lindsay et al, as it is disclosed that the machine speed can be controlled to range from 0.5 m/s to 40 m/s. As to temperature and pressure, Lindsay et al teaches that the temperature and pressure can be controlled achieve a desired outcome and thus both are capable of attaining the claimed temperature and pressure ranges. As to the chamber, Lindsay et al discloses the need to seal the pressure zone, citing the use of seals 100,102 to prevent pressure leaks. For this reason, it is deemed obvious to provide a chamber around the conveyor system in order to prevent pressure leaks.

Specification

6. The use of the trademark Kevlar has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,190

Art Unit: 1744

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 1 is allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: While, Lindsay et al teaches an apparatus for heat and pressure treatement, the apparatus fails to include all the components set forth in instant claim 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 9. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The apparatus of Lindsay et al fails to teach a structure of a chamber or elastomeric input/output rollers.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kadowaki et al (USP 5,626,822) teaches a currency sterilization apparatus

Art Unit: 1744

employing two coacting belts for heating the paper money (see Figure 20). However, a second and third set of belts is used to feed the money to the heating zone, as in Figure 18. Moreover, Kadowaki et al fails to teach increasing the pressure within the chamber.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1275. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leigh McKane
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

elm 29 November 2004